

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/287,304	04/07/1999	AKIRA YAMAMOTO	0941.63012	6149	
24978	7590 11/18/2002				
GREER, BURNS & CRAIN 300 S WACKER DR 25TH FLOOR			EXAMINER		
			PIZIALI, JEFFREY J		
CHICAGO, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2673		
			DATE MAILED: 11/18/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

126

			•	
$\Lambda \Lambda$	1/10	~n/	Λ	ナルヘハ
AU	V13	un v	# 1.	tion
,		~.,	,	

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/287,304	YAMAMOTO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jeff Piziali	2673		

THE REPLY FILED 14 October 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In not event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).)
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee und 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce are earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ler in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>14 October 2002</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying issues for appeal; and/or	the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendme canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	nt
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:	Э
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-17</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10. ☐ Other:	
J.F.	

⁻⁻ The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment, if entered, would newly add the claim limitations of, for instance, "the data driver being divided into a plurality of adjacently arranged blocks from which said groups of signal lines extend over corresponding partial areas of the liquid crystal display device." This proposed amendment would alter the scope of the claims, thereby requiring additional search and consideration. By such reasoning, non-entry of the proposed amendment is deemed proper.

BIPIN SHALWALA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600